

ICG meeting Minutes

Contents

ICG MEETING MINUTES	1
1 MONDAY, 20TH OF APRIL 2009	3
1.1 WELCOME AND OPENING REMARKS BY THE ICG CONVENER	3
1.2 APPROVAL OF AGENDA	3
1.3 APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING	4
1.4 REVIEW OF THE ICG WEBSITE.....	4
1.5 REVIEW OF THE WORK PROGRAM	5
1.6 REPORT ON THE D.08A SCHEMA AUDIT	5
1.7 REPORT ON THE D.08B UN/EDIFACT DIRECTORY AUDIT	5
1.8 REPORT ON THE D.08B CCL AUDIT SITUATION	5
2 TUESDAY, 21ST OF APRIL 2009	6
2.1 UN/CEFACT REGISTRY SPECIFICATION 1.3 PUBLICATION	6
2.2 REVIEW CURRENT IMPLEMENTATION WORK IN PROGRESS.....	6
2.3 PRESENTATION BY M. YEH CONCERNING CCL REGISTRY DEVELOPMENTS	7
2.4 PRESENTATION BY M. KIM CONCERNING FEDERATION.....	7
3 WEDNESDAY, 22TH OF APRIL 2009	7
3.1 GENERICODE DEVELOPMENT PROGRESS REPORT	7
3.2 UN CODE LISTS MIGRATION WORK	7
3.3 UN RECOMMENDATIONS - INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS	7
4 THURSDAY, 23TH OF APRIL 2009	8
4.1 DEMONSTRATION OF UN/CEFACT REGISTRY	8
4.2 REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.....	8
4.3 FUTURE WORK	9
4.4 PREPARATION OF THE ICG CLOSING PLENARY PRESENTATION	10
4.5 ICG RESOLUTIONS.....	10
4.1 REVIEW OF THE MINUTES	10
5 AOB	10
6 FRIDAY, 24TH OF APRIL 2009	10

ICG Meeting minutes – Rome 2009-04-20/24

Present:

Name	Company / Country	E-mail address	Init.
Mike Conroy	Tedior, France	Michael.conroy@wanadoo.fr	MC
David Dobbing	SWIFT, Belgium	ddobbing@attglobal.net	DD
Fabrice Bourge	Orange, France	fabrice.bourge@orange-ftgroup.com	FB
Nikola Stojanovic	RosettaNet, USA	Nikola.stojanovic@rosanettanet.net	NS
Hidehito Horiuchi	JASTPRO, Japan	H-horiuchi@jastpro.or.jp	HH
Yong Jae Kim	Korea Polytechnic University, Korea	yjkim@kpu.ac.kr	YJK
Yao-Ming Yeh	NTNU	yaomingyeh@gmail.com	YY
Scott Larson	DoD		SL
Sang Won Lim	Korea Institute for Electronic Commerce, Korea	swlim@kiec.or.kr	SWL

1 Monday, 20th of April 2009

1.1 Welcome and opening remarks by the ICG Convener

MC welcomed everyone to the fourteenth plenary meeting of the ICG in Rome.

He welcomed Hidehito Horiuchi (HH), from JASTPRO who was attending the meeting for the first time.

He proposed a roll call of the participants before continuing.

1.2 Approval of agenda

The agenda as follows was approved:

Monday 2009-04-20 14:00 – 15:30 General

Welcome and opening remarks

Roll call of participants

Approval of agenda

Approval of minutes of last meeting

Review of ICG website

Review of work program

Report on the D.08A Schema audit

Report on the D.08B UN/EDIFACT directory audit

Report on the D.08B CCL audit situation

Monday 16:00 – 17h00

Revisions of recommendations

- Rec. 20 – Units of measure
- Rec. 21 - Codes for Passengers, Types of Cargo, Packages and Packaging Materials
- Rec. 24 - Trade and Transport Status Codes

Tuesday 9:00 – 10h30 - UN/CEFACT Registry

Review of UN/CEFACT Registry V1.3 publication.

UN/CEFACT Registry Lite implementation possibilities

Tuesday 11:00 – 12:30

Review current implementation Work in progress

Review problem areas

Tuesday 14:00 – 15:30 - UN/CEFACT Registry

Review of implementation plan

Milestones

ICG Meeting minutes – Rome 2009-04-20/24

Tuesday 16:00 – 17:00 - UN/CEFACT Registry

Implementation of the OSR registry progress report

Wednesday 9:00 – 10h30 UNCL migration project

Genericode development progress report

UN Code lists migration work

UN Recommendations - information requirements

UN Recommendations Genericode implementation review

Wednesday 11:00 – 12h30 UNCL migration project

UNCL Genericode implementation review

Publication and usage requirements

Wednesday 14:00 – 15:30 UNCL migration project

Development of the BRS.

Planning for the next meeting

Wednesday 16:00 – 17h00 – Terms of Reference

Review the ICG terms of Reference

Thursday 9:00 – 10h30

UN/CEFACT Registry implementation demonstration

Thursday 11:00 – 12h30

Joint meeting with TBG17 and ATG

Thursday 14:00 – 15h30

ICG Resolutions

ICG closing plenary presentation preparation

Thursday 16:00 – 17h00

ICG Action list update

Review draft minutes

AOB

Friday 09:00 – 10h30 Closing meeting

No meeting

1.3 Approval of the minutes of the last meeting

The minutes were approved with minor editorial changes.

1.4 Review of the ICG website

DD indicated that he had nothing new to report and asked if anyone had something particular to report. DD noted that if it was decided to move the website into the UN then it

ICG Meeting minutes – Rome 2009-04-20/24

would be necessary for the UN to provide direct access for maintenance. The website is currently up to date.

1.5 Review of the Work program

DD presented the work program as defined and agreed at the UN/CEFACT Plenary. He underlined in particular the responsibility of the ICG

<p>E. <u>Activity 1.5: Ensure technical quality of recommendations, standards and technical specifications</u></p> <p>13. Outputs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">1.5.1 Regular ongoing maintenance of UN/CEFACT standards and recommendations1.5.2 Development and implementation the UN/CEFACT Registry1.5.3 Publication of an efficient, documented and managed production process for regular ongoing development and maintenance (e.g., UN/LOCODE, UN/EDIFACT, codes, UN Core Components Library).1.5.4 Conduct of an quality audit of designated deliverables (e.g. UN/EDIFACT Directories, UN/CEFACT Core Component Library, UN/CEFACT Standard Schemas) <p>14. Lead groups: FMG, ICG</p> <p>15. Target Audience: Users of UN/CEFACT standards</p>
--

He noted that the only projects that ICG had currently were the Registry and the UNCL migration. These were over and above the recurring work of the code list maintenance and the audits. He asked if we had any other thoughts for projects. There were no additional items.

1.6 Report on the D.08A Schema audit

MC reported that the D.08A schema audit had taken place without too much difficulty. Its delay was mainly due to a delay in the production of schemas. He stressed that the audit report for D.08A had now been produced and it had highlighted a number of major issues which were found during the audit of the CCL and the resulting schemas. He suggested that everyone read the document closely so that they know the consequences and problems.

1.7 Report on the D.08B UN/EDIFACT directory audit

MC presented the audit of the Edifact directory. He noted that there was a major problem which concerned a segment collision. There were in addition some problems such as spelling in the code lists. These however were quickly resolved.

1.8 Report on the D.08B CCL audit situation

MC explained that because of unresolved differences between the ICG and TBG the UN/CEFACT Bureau published the CCL including an introductory note. The ICG was not consulted and felt that the text did not fully represent the situation.

DD indicated that there was also a change made to the proposed folder names. The ICG had proposed to name the first folder “standards” and the second folder “reference”. The

ICG Meeting minutes – Rome 2009-04-20/24

Bureau decided to name the first folder “message”. He explained that this was not the ideal choice.

MC concluded that this problem would likely be exacerbated with the release of D.09A.

The meeting broke up at 17h00.

2 Tuesday, 21st of April 2009

2.1 UN/CEFACT Registry Specification 1.3 publication

FB presented the Specification and ran over the main points of the specification for the benefit of the participants. There were no additional points to be added.

2.2 Review current implementation Work in progress

MC introduced the subject by indicating that this point in fact also covered the agenda point “UN/CEFACT Registry Lite implementation possibilities”.

NS went on to show the current work in progress concerning the Registry. During its presentation there were a number of comments which can be summarised as follows:

1. Correct the titles of the BRS documents and do not put the revision in the name
2. Place the RSM document at the same level just under the BRS.
3. Have a section concerning CCTS 2.01
4. Add the CCTS specification
5. Create a published libraries section
6. In the action window change the word “edit” to “view”.
7. Change the “search” button to “find”.
8. Added cardinality to the BBIEs and ASBIEs
9. Ensure that the focus is always on top (currently open tab should get the focus)
10. Adapt the CCL content layout as follows:

Published libraries

D08A

CCL

ACCs

ABIEs

QDT

UDT

Message XSDs

ABIE supporting schema

QDT supporting Schema

UDT supporting Schema

Codelists

Identifierlists

D08B

CCL

...

UN/EDIFACT directories

The presentation was well received and everyone thought that it was robust enough for presentation on Thursday.

ICG Meeting minutes – Rome 2009-04-20/24

2.3 Presentation by M. Yeh concerning CCL Registry developments

M. Yeh provided a progress report on developments that have been carried out in the NTNU on the Registry and developed the interest there was in version control. (See presentation for details).

2.4 Presentation by M. Kim concerning Federation

M. Kim made a very interesting presentation concerning federation as a follow up to M. Yeh's presentation. (see presentation for details).

The meeting broke up at 17h00.

3 Wednesday, 22th of April 2009

3.1 Genericode development progress report

MC presented the developments that had been carried out on the evolution of Genericode to incorporate the UN/CEFACT requirements. He explained that with the version 1.0 two changes had been made, one concerned the addition of the object codelist to the object codelistSet. The second one concerned making the columnSet object optional within the codelist object.

He explained that after a dialogue with T. Coates the addition of the codelist to the codelistSet had been agreed and the genericode version had been changed to version 2.0.

MC went on to explain that there was no agreement on the operational columnset. He showed the proposal that T. Coates had made on how to overcome the problem. After a discussion on the subject it was agreed that the proposal was not acceptable.

MC went on to propose an alternative solution which meant using the canonicalUri to reference the base definition. After further discussion this was agreed as a viable solution which met the requirements of both parties.

MC indicated that he would inform T. Coates of the results.

3.2 UN Code lists migration work

MC presented the work to date concerning the UNCL in D.08B format. He indicated that he would adjust this to meet the new requirements with Genericode and that he would then send this out to the group for review.

3.3 UN Recommendations - information requirements

MC presented the use of Genericode for UN/ECE Recommendation 20 and showed its possibilities. After a discussion it was felt that it would be perhaps better to produce a separate schema component for each Recommendation in view of the diversity of the versions and time scales. This was finally agreed.

DD took the opportunity to present the current revisions for the Recommendations 21 and 24. He showed each of the revisions and explained their motivation for change or creation. After a discussion on the changes and additions DD asked if the group approved them.

DD will take the necessary steps to publish the approved documents.

DD noted that recommendation 20 was currently under review and that if anyone had comments they should reply formally through the ODP process.

ICG Meeting minutes – Rome 2009-04-20/24

The meeting broke up at 17h00.

4 Thursday, 23th of April 2009

4.1 Demonstration of UN/CEFACT Registry

MC indicated that the objective of the demonstration was to review the Registry tool with a view to making it more user friendly. After the introduction he handed the floor over to NS to present the demonstration.

After a very informative and interesting presentation there were some suggestions for improvement such as a more graphic interface (UML like), more data provision, etc.

4.2 Review of implementation plan

The initial Phase is based on RepXML and improvements include:

- more user friendly interface
- new GUI
- upgrade from Reg/Rep specs v2.5 to v3.0
- upgrade from 3.0 alpha-2 to latest Omar release
- server is not based on Castor anymore, but on JAXB (implying that a big part of the RepXML code is not needed anymore)
- CCL 08-A was uploaded

The demonstration has provided: positive feedback from audience.

The next steps will be the following:

- finish Phase 1 fully as originally defined:
- Perform administration functions
- Manage users and organizations
- View audit trail
- Store, view and retrieve Edifact directory (as a blob)
- Export PDF, XML Schemas, XMI
- upload 08-B when available (after having modified what is needed to take into account the changes in Excel spreadsheet)
- add filtered views (code partially already there)
- post-phase 1:
- select a group of CEFACT users to test Phase 1 to give us feedback
- open-up the prototype for evaluation
- collect requirements

Once these have been completed it will be necessary to adjust Phase 2 accordingly and then to start Phase 2 implementation.

ICG Meeting minutes – Rome 2009-04-20/24

The current outstanding issues have been identified:

- The RosettaNet commitment to continue to Phase 2 is not known at this time
- The same goes for Orange Labs.
- Fabrice will try to finalize the IP issue regarding the RepXML source code before the end of May

4.3 Future work

1	Recommendation 20 (Units of Measure)	D. Dobbing	Finalise Rev 6 by the next meeting
	Submit Recommendations 21 to 24 for publication and the Plenary for noting	D. Dobbing	31 May 2009
2	UNCL migration	M. Conroy	Prepare the Genericcode implementation of the D.08B UNCL which respects the new 2.0 Genericcode schema for ICG review (ODP4).
3	UN/CEFACT Registry Implementation – Phase 1	F Berthomieu, F. Bourge, Y. Yeh, I. Bedini, S. Lim, N. Stojanovic A. Tell A. Srivastava P. Balasubramanian D. Dobbing, M. Conroy,	Continue development of the implementation verification of the Registry
5	D.08B Schema Audit	Audit Team	Target for completion beginning June 2009
6	D.09A EDIFACT directory audit	Audit Team	30 June 2009
7	D.09A CCL audit	Audit Team	To be defined
8	D.09A XML Schema directory audit	Audit Team	To be defined
9	worked example for RSM	D. Dobbing	Preparation for next meeting

ICG Meeting minutes – Rome 2009-04-20/24

4.4 Preparation of the ICG closing plenary presentation

MC presented the slides that had been prepared for the closing plenary (see closing plenary presentation for details).

4.5 ICG Resolutions

There were no resolutions.

4.1 Review of the minutes

The minutes were reviewed and agreed.

5 AOB

There was no other business.

The meeting broke up at 17h00.

6 Friday, 24th of April 2009

As all agenda points had been covered there was no meeting held on Friday.